

May 4, 1973

(Start of Belt #2)

Senator Goodrich, and I wish he'd get his dipper out of my bucket and stop trying to help me and I think that perhaps we're going to have plenty of things to study this summer, without trying to solve that problem for the bankers. I think that we just have a, respect their wishes on this bill, repeatedly and Senator Goodrich made an attempt to compromise the bill. If he is satisfied with the compromise, I will buy it. I will support the amendment. I will support the bill and I withdraw my objections and I ask the clerk to scratch my motion for the in regard to the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit is asking unanimous consent to withdraw his motion which would have struck the Goodrich amendment to LB 312 on select file. Now is there need for further discussion or is there any objections to him withdrawing that amendment? Senator Carpenter are you objecting to withdrawing the amendment?

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well what is his position then if we, what is the position daily if we do all the things these people are advocating?

PRESIDENT: I think that it was the chairs understanding that the bill goes to final reading and then Senator Goodrich prepares some sort of a kill motion. Is that essentially right Senator Goodrich? That seems strange to report but that is what I thought I heard.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Knowing Senator Goodrich, it is not strange. Now Mr. President, we are talking about the little banks and the big banks. We're all concerned whether their satisfied. I don't think that should be any part of the discussion. I'm concerned are the peoples satisfied with the service, that is available. Theres alot of manuvering going around here for example, it is not in the general public interest and what ever you study my friend, 9 out of 10 times, not always, but 9 out of 10 times, you do it for a purpose and that is not to do anything. That is just an escape clause in order to not face up to your responsibilities in doing what you think you ought to do. Now there isn't any question that this bill is in some form is acceptable. We accepted Senator Goodrich's amendment yesterday and in good faith and he accepted it in good faith, as a result of his suggestion to arrive at a compromise. Now all of a sudden, over night, everybodys got indigestion. The little banks wants the status quo, they don't want any change because they've got everything they want and that is what Senator Goodrich wants. Probably, because they is what they want. I think this is a sad comentary. That this body will not face up to the things that are self evident, because we've got a few people on our back who don't have any desire to do anything except to have something that is a self serving piece of legislation, and I just think this is a horrible example of a lack of courage in order to do something because when you say, your going to study, the only purpose in this case, is delay and not to do anything. You can sit down in the study committee and I question if theres anybody here who would dissent from this statement except maybe Senator Goodrich, that your going to accomplish anything as a result of the study. We know everything today, we'll every know. This thing has been going on ever since the first day I came in this legislature. This same piece of legislation. This same conflict. They want to leave it like it is because they are satisfied with it, like it is. Heres the people in the middle because, the circumstances because progress if that is the right word,

3215